1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 Ismael Villa, No. CV-24-01890-PHX-ASB 9 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 10 11 12 Express Truss & Framing Systems LLC, et 13 Defendants. 14 This matter was assigned to Magistrate Judge Alison S. Bachus. (Doc. 3). On May 15 14, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court.¹ 16 (Doc. 26). The Magistrate Judge has recommended that Plaintiff's Motion for Default 17 Judgment be granted in-part and denied in-part. To date, no objections have been filed. 18 19 This case is assigned to a Magistrate Judge. However, not all parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Thus, the matter is before this Court 20 pursuant to General Order 21-25, which states in relevant part: 21 When a United States Magistrate Judge to whom a civil action has been assigned pursuant to Local Rule 3.7(a)(1) considers dismissal to be appropriate but lacks the jurisdiction to do so under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) 22 23 due to incomplete status of election by the parties to consent or not consent to the full authority of the Magistrate Judge, 24 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge will prepare a Report and Recommendation for the Chief United States District Judge or designee. 25 26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED designating the following District Court Judges to review and, if deemed suitable, to sign the order of dismissal on 27 my behalf:

Phoenix/Prescott: Senior United States District Judge Stephen M.

28

McNamee

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate's recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo* review of the Magistrate Judge's factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's conclusion "is a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal." Id.

DISCUSSION

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,

IT IS ORDERED adopting the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 26).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting in-part and denying in-part Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment, (Doc. 23), against Defendants Express Truss Framing Systems LLC, Express Truss LLC, William Keshishi, and Linet Mirzakanian as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff is awarded statutory damages in the amount of \$51,345.60 against Defendants Express Truss Framing Systems LLC, Express Truss LLC, and William Keshishi and Linet Mirzakanian, jointly and severally;
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion is denied as to any damages exceeding \$51,345.60.

27 | /// 28 | ///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff may file a motion for attorney fees no later than June 16, 2025. Dated this 2nd day of June, 2025. Stephen M. McNamee Senior United States District Judge